$5.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}, m / e(\%)$ $451\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 2\right), 390$ (12), 141 (24), 112 (12), 91 (100).
(土)-Methyl 4,5,7,8-Tetra-O-acetyl-2-O-benzyl-3-deoxy-D-manno-2octulopyranosonate (23). A solution of the acid 22 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 5 mL ) was treated with an excess of etheral diazomethane. After 10 min , the solution was concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $35 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_{f} 0.35$ ) provided 124 mg ( $95 \%$ ) of the title compound as a colorless glass: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1755,1738,1438$, $1369,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.42$ (brs, 1 H ), 5.40 (ddd, $J=12.3,4.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.27 (ddd, $J=9.8$, $3.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58,4.47(\mathrm{AB}, J$ $=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3$, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.3,4.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (s, 3 H ); MS, $m / e(\%)$ no $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 452(2), 451$ (7), 405 (9), 404 (45), 301 (18), 224 (10), 182 (18), 181 (21), 145 (12), 121 (12), 112 (12), 92 (10), 91 (100).
( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2,4,5,7,8-Penta- $O$-acetyl-3-deoxy-D-manno-2-octulopyranosonate (24), A mixture of the benzyl ether 23 ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.217$ mmol ), $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 20 mg ), and ethanol ( 3 mL ) was stirred under a balloon of hydrogen for 20 h then filtered through Celite, washing with dichloromethane. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude alcohol, which was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) and treated with acetic anhydride ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 34 mg , 0.43 mmol ) and 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine ( 2 mg ). After 3 h , the solution was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous hydrochloric acid and saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to provide $93.1 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless solid, $\mathrm{mp} 129.0-129.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate/hexane) lit. $\mathrm{mp}^{25}$ for (+)-24 155-158 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which was identical with material prepared from $(+) \cdot \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{KDO}$ (Sigma) according to Unger ${ }^{25}$ with regard to its TLC behavior ( $R_{f} 0.25$, $40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexane) and its IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz ), and mass spectra: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1748,1429,1363,1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.7,6.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22$ (ddd, $J=9.9,3.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (dd, $J=9.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.002$ (s, 3 H ), $1.997(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS, $m / e(\%)$ no $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 431$ (1), $405(2), 404$ (8), 403 (39), 361 (48), 301 (100), 300 (47), 282 (49), 259 (31), 241 (34), 240 (62), 227 (20), 217 (37), 199 (39), 198 (35), 185 (23), 181 (100), $180(20), 168(26), 167(74), 157(34), 155(56), 145(40), 143(24), 139$ (70), 128 (20), 127 (20), 126 (65), 115 (51), 103 (26).
( $\pm$ )-Ammonium 3-Deoxy-D-manno-2-octulopyranosonate (( $\pm$ )- $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ KDO) (1). A solution of the acid 22 ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 0.409 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol
( 4 mL ) was stirred with sodium methoxide ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 6 $h$, then neutralized with methanol-washed Dowex 50-8x (20-50 mesh) ion-exchange resin. The mixture was filtered, washing the resin with small portions of methanol. Palladium ( $10 \%$ on charcoal, 50 mg ) was added to the filtrate and the mixture was stirred under a balloon of hydrogen for 16 h then filtered through Celite, washing with methanol. The filtrate was treated with excess anhydrous methanolic ammonia, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo to provide $96.0 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless, amophous solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 119-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) whose $250-\mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was essentially identical with that of an authentic sample of $(+)-\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{KDO}$ (Sigma, $\mathrm{mp} 122-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with the exception of a few very minor impurities, which were absent after recrystallization. Mobility by TLC was identical with that of an authentic sample, $R_{f} 0.58$ (10:10:3 methanol/chloroform/water, $p$-anisaldehyde/sulfuric acid visualization). Slow crystallization from aqueous ethanol provided pure $( \pm)-\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{KDO}(1)$ as colorless plates, mp $122.5-124.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\mathrm{mp}^{25 \mathrm{a}}$ of $\left.(+)-1122-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ reference) $\delta 4.47-4.37(\mathrm{~m}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}), 4.03-3.92(\mathrm{~m})$, 3.88-3.49 (m), $2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}), 2.03-1.76(\mathrm{~m})$.

Peracetylation and diazomethane esterification of $7.1 \mathrm{mg}(0.028$ mmol ) of the crude product according to the literature procedure ${ }^{25}$ afforded $10.4 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$ of ( $\pm$ )-24 as a colorless solid (mp 128-129 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) after flash chromatography ( $40 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexane, $R_{f} 0.31$ ), which was identical in all respects with that prepared above from 23.
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# Total Synthesis of Vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ Methyl Ester 
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Abstract: Two homo-Diels-Alder reactions and a hetero-Diels-Alder reaction, each using siloxydienes, were used in a total synthesis of the title compound.

## Background

The anthracycline antibiotics such as adriamycin are among the most important of the natural products in antitumor chemotherapy, ${ }^{1}$ These compounds characteristically contain an anthraquinone chromophore within a hydrotetracene ring system. The tetracene moiety is linked to a "carbohydrate region" through a glycosidic bond.
(1) Cf. Inter. Alia: (a) "The Chemistry of Antitumor Antibiotics"; Remers, W. A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1978. (b) "Anticancer Agents Based on Natural Product Models"; Cassady, J. M.; Douros, J. D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1980. (c) "Antineoplastic Agents"; Remers, W. A., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1984.

An interesting subgroup of anthracycline antibiotics are those in which an anthracene or a tetracene derivative is joined to a carbohydrate residue through a C -glycosidic bond. ${ }^{2}$ In some instances there are two such C-glycosidic bonds. Combinations of C - and O -glycosidic attachments of sugars to an anthracycline system are also known. Finally, and most relevant to the inves-
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## Scheme I


tigation described herein (vide infra), is the situation where a sugar residue, which is attached to the anthracycline through a Cglycosidic arrangement, is also joined to a carbohydrate section through a more conventional O-glycosidic linkage. ${ }^{3}$

The clinical efficacy of the C -glycosidic anthracyclines remains to be demonstrated. Also, the mechanisms of antitumor action of the C-glycosidic compounds, and particularly their similarity, if any, with those of the O-glycosidic drugs ${ }^{4}$ await proper definition. Nonetheless, it seems, at least in principle, possible that the C -glycosidic compounds could eventually prove to be more efficacious than their O-glycosidic counterparts, in that their vulnerability toward deactivating deglycosylation might be less.

Moreover, the C-glycosides were also of considerable chemical interest to our laboratory. It seemed possible that the total synthesis of many of the C-glycosides could well be facilitated through the use of hetero-Diels-Alder reactions of aldehydes and siloxydienes. ${ }^{5.6}$

Vineomycins $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}$, and $B_{2}$ were isolated from the culture broth of an actinomycete designated as Streptomyces matensis vineus from Takada in the Niigata Prefecture of Japan. ${ }^{7}$ All of the vineomycins are active against gram-positive bacteria and against sarcoma 180 solid tumors in mice. ${ }^{8}$ The structure of vineomycin $B_{2}$ was deduced to be that shown as $\mathbf{1}$ by its correlation

with vineomycin $A_{1}$ whose structure was ascertained by crystallographic methods. ${ }^{9}$ Treatment of vineomycin $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ with methanolic HCl affords the aglycone vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ methyl ester (2b). Herein the total synthesis of this aglycon is described.

## Synthetic Plan

Certainly the most provocative feature of structure $\mathbf{2}$ is the attachment of a 2,6-dideoxyglucose residue to an aromatic ring through a $\beta$-C-glycosidic bond. ${ }^{10 a}$ One might consider trying to

[^1]
## Scheme II


establish such a bond by electrophilic attack of a suitably protected and activated hexose derivative, upon an appropriately activated aromatic system. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ However, an alternative and simpler formulation presented itself. The dideoxyglucose subunit could be fashioned de-novo by Lewis acid catalyzed cyclocondensation of a diene with an appropriate aromatic aldehyde. ${ }^{5}$ A particularly concise version of this synthetic hypothesis was the permutation where the diene would be of the type 3. If cycloaddition occurred in the pericyclic mode through an endo topology, the required stereochemistry of the C-glycoside would be established. Moreover, the resultant enoxysilane could well lend itself to orderly introduction of the required $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ (glucose numbering) hydroxyl functionality in the necessary configurational sense (see Scheme I).

It seemed that an aromatic aldehyde such as 9 might serve well as a heterodienophile for cyclondensation with 3. Another attractive feature of the plan could now be discerned. The required system could itself be assembled by sequential homo-Diels-Alder reactions of suitable quinones with well-chosen siloxydienes. ${ }^{11}$ Indeed, closer examination of precursor type 8, in the setting of Scheme II reveals an exploitable quasi-symmetry at the synthetic level. For the moment, issues of oxidation levels (i.e., the nature of functions X on the quinone and of functions Y on the dienes) are deferred. A homo-Diels-Alder reaction between quinone 4 and diene 5 could lead, after manipulation, to product 6 . A second Diels-Alder reaction between 6 and another 2 -substituted diene (7) could lead to 8 and eventually to 9.

From 9, the dideoxyhexose segment is to be fashioned beginning with a hetero-Diels-Alder reaction on the aldehyde linkage. The 3-hydroxy-3-methylbutyric acid ester side chain would be reached via a Reformatsky-equivalent process on the ketone. This program offered no solution to the very significant problem of coordinating the two dissymmetric sectors of the molecule. Of course, the attainment of an effective stereochemical "liaison" between these remotely disposed pockets of stereogenicity would be no simple matter.
One could consider approaches based on essentially enantio-topic-type control in each region. ${ }^{12}$ For instance, the construction of the hexose sector could involve joining a properly functionalized derivative of D-glucose to an aromatic residue. ${ }^{106}$ In a similar vein, either through the use of a resolved fragment or through methodology using enantiotopic reaction specificity, ${ }^{13}$ the 3-methyl3 -hydroxybutyrate might be introduced in the required $R$ configuration.

We would here register the opinion that chirality matching solutions of this type do not deal with the significant intellectual challenge of creating stereochemical interactivity. In the absence

[^2]of a pleasing intellectual solution to this most difficult problem, the quality of various synthetic approaches should be evaluated purely on the basis of efficiency and practicality.

## Discussion of Results

(i) Model Studies: A Stereospecific Route to C-Glycosides. The feasibility of Lewis acid catalyzed cyclocondensation reactions of dienes of the type 3, bearing an alkyl group at the terminal carbon instead of the usual 1-alkoxy function, had not yet been demonstrated. ${ }^{14}$ Were a cycloaddition feasible, it would be of interest to address the question of endo vs. exo topology. Furthermore, the orderly introduction of functionality would be much simplified if the kinetic silyl enol ether (see Scheme I) could be isolated. The possibility of employing mild lanthanide catalysis toward this end was studied. ${ }^{152}$

The commercially available methyl propenyl ketone was treated with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) in tetrahydrofuran (THF). The resulting enolate was quenched to afford diene 10. Reaction

of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $o$-methoxybenzaldehyde was carried out in chloroform at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{fod}) 3^{15 \mathrm{~b}}$ over 12 h . A single cycloaddition product, 11, was obtained in $86 \%$ yield. The stereochemistry of the dihydropyran was clarified by its acidcatalyzed hydrolysis to the tetrahydropyrone 12. The high-field NMR spectrum (see Experimental Section) of this compound identifies its two tertiary protons to be axial. Thus, cycloaddition had indeed occurred with strict endo topology.

Reaction of the primary cycloaddition product 11 with 1 M equiv of borane-dimethylsulfide in methylene chloride followed by oxidation of the intermediate borane with alkaline hydrogen peroxide ${ }^{16}$ afforded an overall hydration product, 13, whose high-field NMR spectrum (see Experimental Section) reveals its four tertiary protons to be axial. A secure basis for proceeding on the vineomycinone project had been established. It is also to be noted that this chemistry provides a direct (two step) route

[^3]to C -glycosides in the 2-deoxyglucose series. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$
It was of further interest to ascertain the extent of enantiotopic selectivity which could be realized by recourse to the chiral lanthanide catalyst $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3 .}{ }^{17} \quad$ Cycloaddition of diene 10 with benzaldehyde in the presence of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ as above afforded silyl enol ether $\mathbf{1 4}$ in $91 \%$ yield. The latter was subjected to the action of palladium acetate in acetonitrile, thereby providing a $67 \%$ yield of the dihydropyrone $15 .{ }^{18}$ Ozonolysis of $\mathbf{1 5}$ followed by oxidative workup with hydrogen peroxide and esterification with diazomethane provided ester 16. Analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 16 by our usual protocols ${ }^{17}$ showed there to be less than $5 \%$ of enantiotopic enrichment in the direction of the L sugar.
(ii) Synthesis of Ketoaldehyde 9. Attentions were now directed to the synthesis of ketoaldehyde 9. The expectation was that cyclocondensation of this dicarbonyl compound with diene 10 would occur exclusively at the aldehyde center. ${ }^{19}$ A logical precursor to 9 would be the diolefin 17. Returning to Scheme II, in its simplest form, this could imply the use of diene 5a. In that case, the resultant naphthoquinone would be $\mathbf{6 a}$.


We came to favor a somewhat less direct route wherein the diene would be that shown as $\mathbf{5 b}$. The naphthoquinone to be used for the second homo-Diels-Alder reaction (see Scheme II) would be 6b. Compound 18, which could be obtained from such a sequence, seemed to be an admirable precursor of the differentiated dicarbonyl system 9 . It would be necessary to change the nature of the $R_{2}$ function from allyl in $\mathbf{5 b}$ to the propenyl group in $\mathbf{6 b}$. It was hoped that such a thermodynamically favorable tautomerization could be achieved at a stage between the two homo-Diels-Alder reactions (see Scheme II, $\mathrm{R}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{3}$ ).

Deconjugative allylation of methyl crotonate according to Schlessinger ${ }^{20}$ afforded the known 19. Deprotonation of the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester followed by silylation with trimethylchlorosilane (TMSCl) afforded diene 20 in $81 \%$ yield. Diene $\mathbf{2 2}^{21}$ was prepared in a similar way from methyl crotonate via its

[^4]
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\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{\sim}{19} R=H & \underset{\sim}{20} R=H \\
\underset{\sim}{21} R=M e & \underset{\sim}{22} R=M e
\end{array}
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deconjugative methallylation product, 21. The quinone selected as the starting material was the well-known and readily available 2,5-dichlorobenzoquinone (23). ${ }^{22}$

Diene $\mathbf{2 0}$ and quinone $\mathbf{2 3}$ were heated in benzene at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Thermolysis of the resultant material, presumed to be 24, at $110-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 h , afforded a $70 \%$ yield of 2 -allyl -6 chlorojuglone (25). Trace amounts of the O -methyl ether 26, mp $96.5-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were also obtained. Compound 26 was in any case to be the next imtermediate in the synthesis. It was prepared in $95 \%$ yield by the O-methylation of $\mathbf{2 5}$ through the agency of silver oxide and methyl iodide. Predictably, ${ }^{23}$ the Diels-Alder reaction was regiospecific. Both electronic and steric factors would converge to prompt "initial-bond" formation between $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ of the quinone and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ of the diene. Another potential problem turned out not to pose any serious difficulties. In principle, diaddition of dienes to quinone $\mathbf{2 3}$ would be possible. In the event, however, a mono-Diels-Alder reaction of quinone $\mathbf{2 3}$ was readily achieved using a $1: 1$ mixture of diene $\mathbf{2 0}$ dienophile.

This result need occasion no surprise. Thus, 24, which would be the expected product of cycloaddition of $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$, is no longer a quinone. The quinone linkage of 25 is only generated on thermolysis of 24. Since quinones are more reactive dienophiles than enediones, diaddition would not have been expected to be a serious complicating factor.

The isomerization of the allyl function to the required propenyl group was accomplished through the reaction of 26 with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$.bisacetonitrile ${ }^{24}$ in methylene chloride under reflux. Compound 27, mp $149-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was obtained in $95 \%$ yield. The ability to carry out this conjugation in high yield at this strategic point was very valuable for the success of the enterprise. It provided the basis for the needed differentation of the 2 and 6 positions of the 1,5 -dioxygenated anthraquinone. The timing for the second Diels-Alder reaction seemed to be propitious.

Reaction of $\mathbf{2 6}$ with diene $\mathbf{2 2}$ was carried out in benzene at 95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The primary product of cycloaddition, presumed to be $\mathbf{2 8}$, was not characterized. Attempts to convert it to the aromatic

[^5]system by thermolysis were complicated by significant amounts of isomerization of the double bond of the methallyl group. Fortunately, this problem could be solved by reaction of the crude cycloaddition intermediate with potassium carbonate and methyl iodide in acetone. In this way, the desired anthraquinone $18, \mathrm{mp}$ $149-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was obtained in $79 \%$ yield. Thus, aromatization and methylation were achieved in one experimental operation.

The route described above to intermediate 18 was superior to another one which started with 2 -methallyljuglone (29) prepared from juglone via reaction with silver oxide and methallyl iodide. Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{2 9}$ with diene $\mathbf{2 0}$ was carried out in benzene under reflux. The cycloadduct was treated with trifluoroacetic acid.



This crude product, which was in turn presumed to consist largely of 2-allyl-5-(methallyloxy)-1,9,10-anthracenetriol was oxidized with silver oxide to afford anthraquinone 30 which, upon methylation ( $73 \%$ overall), provided the methyl ether 31.

It was interesting to find that treatment of 31 with $\mathrm{RhCl}_{3}{ }^{24}$ in ethanol led to faster isomerization of the allylic double bond than migration of the methallyl ether-like double bond. Compound 32 thus obtained in $58 \%$ yield was, upon thermolysis at $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $N, N$-diethylaniline, converted to 33 which, upon methylation (potassium carbonate-methyl iodide) was converted to the same compound 18 ( $56 \%$ from 32 ). While this alternative was certainly very interesting and served to confirm the structures assigned in the double Diels-Alder route, it was not as practical. Most notably, the recourse to juglone as the starting material was far less attractive than reliance on quinone 23. Also, the Diels-Alder reaction-oxidative aromatization sequence with 29 was less efficient in practice than the corresponding chemistry using haloquinones and silylketene acetals, wherein further oxidations are not required.

Ozonolysis of $\mathbf{1 8}$ in methylene chloride methanol in the presence of saturated sodium bicarbonate afforded an $84 \%$ yield of the desired ketoaldehyde $9,187.5-188.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The strategy for the construction of dideoxy- C -glycoside could now be launched.
The information gained from the model studies (vide supra) proved relevant to substrate 9 . Reaction of ketoaldehyde 9 with diene $\mathbf{1 0}$ occurred in chloroform in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{fod})_{3}$. There was thus obtained the silyl enol ether 34 in $90-95 \%$ yield. Hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3 4}$ gave tetrahydropyrone 35. This cis relationship of the methyl and aromatic residues was seen most readily from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 35 wherein both methine protons were seen to be axial (see Experimental Section).
For maximum conciseness, hydroboration was to be carried on directly on silyl enol ether 34. Since the substrate contained an unhindered ketone, mild conditions for hydroboration were sought. No reaction was seen upon treatment of 34 with $9-\mathrm{BBN}$. At-

tempted selective reaction with diborane itself was unsuccessful. However, differential reactivity was realized by treatment of $\mathbf{3 4}$ with 1 equiv of borane dimethylsulfide, ${ }^{25}$ in methylene chloride at room temperature for 2 h . After oxidative workup with aqueous alkaline hydrogen peroxide, a $49 \%$ yield of 36 was obtained. These mild conditions resulted in a $30 \%$ recovery of starting compound 34. However, avoidance of reduction of the ketone justified the inconvenience of chromotographic recovery and recycling of starting material. As in the case of model compound 11, hydroboration occurred anti to the methyl and aryl groups. As before, the assignment of stereochemistry to $\mathbf{3 6}$ followed from its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (see Experimental Section) wherein each of the tertiary protons was revealed to be axial.

Attempts to achieve the two-carbon homologation of the ketone in compound 36 were unsuccessful. These included reactions with (carbomethoxy)methyllithium, (carbomethoxy)methylbromozinc, vinylmagnesium bromide, and potassium (dimethylphosphonyl)methylacetate. Such reactions afforded either unrecognizable materials or starting materials. It seemed that the required Reformatsky-like reactions were being undermined by proton transfer from the rather acidic benzylic methylene group and by competing reactions (alkylation or electron-transfer induced deterioration) of the quinone. In principle, both of these problems might be ameliorated if the two methyl ether functions would be cleaved at this stage. It was hoped that deprotdination of the resultant diphenol would considerably reduce the electrophilicity of the anthraquinone ${ }^{26}$ and possible the acidity of the benzylic methylene group.

Demethylation was accomplished by treatment of 36 with boron tribromide in methylene chloride at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . Remarkably, the triethylsilyl group survived this exposure. Compound $\mathbf{3 7}$ was obtained as an orange powder which was unstable to silica gel chromatography. Accordingly, it was used in less than fully homogeneous form.

The first indication that success was at hand arose from the reaction of 37 with the bromomagnesium salt, prepared from the reaction of (carbomethoxy)methyllithium with anhydrous mag.
nesium bromide. ${ }^{27}$ Chromatography of the crude reaction product and elution of a yellow band produced material with the same rf value as a sample of authentic aglycon methyl ester $\mathbf{2 b}$, which was prepared by known methods from aquayamycin. ${ }^{2 e}$ Interestingly, though by all chemical logic, it seemed certain that the synthetic product must be a diastereomeric mixture; its NMR spectrum in the mixed solvent system $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}-\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 1: 4\right)$ was suggestive of its being homogeneous and was identical with that of authentic $\mathbf{2 b}$. Indeed, even the $125-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 38 and $\mathbf{2 b}$ in the same mixed solvent system were identical, and there was no objective indication that the synthetic material was inhomogeneous. The same apparent homogeneity and identity with authentic material was manifested in the tetraacetate 39 obtained from the reaction of synthetic 38 with acetic anhydride and pyridine. Again, both the $500-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and the $125-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the synthetic 39 and 2 c derived from authentic 2 b material were identical.
The first indication that the synthetic 38 was in fact nonhomogeneous arose from its $500-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in pure $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. In authentic 2b, the spectrum of the benzylic methylene protons (adjacent to the tertiary alcohol) give rise to an AB quartet: $\mathrm{H}_{1} 3.12(J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), \mathrm{H}_{2} 3.04(J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$. In the synthetic material this quartet is seen, but there is discerned another quartet of roughly equal intensity ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}, 3.10(J=13.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}), H_{2}{ }^{\prime} 3.06(J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ which is not seen in the authentic material. The remaining resonances of both spectra are identical. Accordingly, it was supposed that these latter resonances might correspond to the benzylic protons of the "epi" compound. Unfortunately, all attempts at HPLC separation were unsuccessful.

Another approach to the preparation of homogeneous synthetic 2 involved attempted bisacylation of the two chiral sectors of $\mathbf{3}$, with L- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride. ${ }^{28}$ In principle, if each Mosher ester could "resolve" its end of the molecule, four compounds should be produced. This plan was foiled when only a two component mixture of monoesters was produced. The tertiary alcohol was not acylated. Separation of the two monoesters was achieved on a $\mu$-Bondapak-CN column using 1:2:48 acetone/ethyl acetate/benzene. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of each component showed that the "dideoxygluco" regions had been resolved via the Mosher ester $\mathbf{4 0}$, but the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated that the tertiary alcohol center was still inhomogeneous.
The use of a chiral menthyl ester to "resolve" the $\beta$-hydroxyester region was examined. If this could be accomplished one could then turn to the Mosher ester to provide homogeneous material. In this event, the menthyl esters themselves sufficed for separation of both ends of the molecule.
Reaction of $l$-menthyl acetate with LDA-THF produced a lithio intermediate which, on treatment with anhydrous magnesium bromide, afforded the presumed 41 which reacted with 37 ( 10 equiv of 41; l equiv of 37). The product, obtained after standard workup, was separable by HPLC into two pure components. One was shown (vide infra) to be 42, i.e., the $l$-menthyl ester of $\mathbf{2 a}$. Another pure component which could be obtained with the $l$ menthyl ester of one of the two antipodal forms of epi-vineomycinone. The configuration of the "anthracycline portion" of this substance is arbitrarily assigned as shown in 43. ${ }^{29}$ The other two components, i.e., ent-43 $l$-menthyl ester (i.e., 44) and ent- 2

[^6]

$43 \mathrm{R}=1$-menthyl; $X=\mathrm{Me} ; Y=\mathrm{OH}$
$\rightarrow \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OH}$
$43 \mathrm{R}=1$-menthyl; $X=\mathrm{OH} ; Y=\mathrm{Me}$

$\left.\begin{array}{l}49 \\ 50 \\ 50 \text { R=d menthyl; } ; \quad X=O H ; Y=M e\end{array}\right\}$ not separated

$l$-menthyl ester (i.e., 45) were not separable from one another. Predictably, a similar result was obtained starting with $d$ menthyl acetate and proceeding via its bromomagnesium derivative 46. From this theoretically four-component mixture, there could be obtained in homogeneous form the $d$-menthyl ester of ent-2a (i.e., 47) and the $d$-menthyl ester of epi-vineomycinone whose absolute configuration in the "anthracycline protion" is arbitrarily shown as $48 .{ }^{29}$ The other two components, 49 and $\mathbf{5 0}$, presumably present, were not separable.

Treatment of vineomycinone $l$-menthyl ester (42) with potassium carbonate in methanol accomplished trans esterification, affording a sample of the homogeneous, fully synthetic methyl ester $\mathbf{2 b}$. Similar processing of 48 afforded epi-vineomycinone methyl ester whose absolute configuration is arbitrarily expressed as 51.29 The fully synthetic $\mathbf{2 b}$ had a melting point of 183-184 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (authentic sample $=184-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Its optical rotation, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+109.1^{\circ}\left(c 0.00066, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, is also in close accord with that of authentic 2: $[\alpha]_{D}+109.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.00065 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. Comparison of the $500-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 b}$ and 51 shows them to be identical except for the resonances for the benzylic methylene groups (vide supra). Most decisively the spectra revealed the absence of contamination between fully synthetic $\mathbf{2 b}$ and 51. Accordingly, it can confidently be asserted that the total synthesis of homogeneous vineomycinone $B_{2}$ methyl ester has been accomplished.

In conclusion, the use of three cycloadditions with siloxydienes leads to a very concise route to ketone 37. However, the penalty for the stereoandomness of the Reformatsky-like reaction (see 37 $\rightarrow 38$ and the analogous reactions in the menthyl series) was quite severe in the practical preparative sense. Separation of the vineomycin and epi-vineomycin series was feasible only through recourse to HPLC and then only on small scales using "shaving and recycling" techniques. Needless to say, for any solution involving enantiotopic sepcificity to be of consequence at the preparative level, given the difficulties of chromatographic separation of the "vineo" and "epi-vineo" isomers, control would have to be exercised in both sectors of the molecule.

The ultimate solution, at the conceptual level, would involve retention of the simplicity of the scheme described herein, in a context in which effective stereochemical dialogue between the
remote dissymmetric elements would be established. As the sophistication of organic synthesis continues to grow, such an idealized formulation could well fall within the scope of the feasible.

## Experimental ${ }^{30}$ Section

trans-2-((Triethylsilyl)oxy)-1,3-pentadiene (10). To a stirred solution of LDA ( 130 mmol ) in THF ( 55 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise 3 -penten-2-one ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ pure from Aldrich) over a $50-\mathrm{min}$ period. After the solution was stirred for 15 min , triethylsilyl chloride $(19.7 \mathrm{~g}$, 131 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional hour. After dilution with hexanes ( 250 mL ), the mixture was washed with cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue afforded diene $10: 3^{31} 11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%, 87-94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10$ mmHg ; IR (neat) 2950, 2895, 2855, 1580, 1315, $1050 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.09(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 198$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).
cis-2-Methyl-6-(0-methoxyphenyl)-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)-5,6-dihydro$\mathbf{2 H}$-pyran (11). A solution of o-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, diene $10(850 \mathrm{mg}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{fod})_{3}{ }^{156}(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$ mmol ) in chloroform ( 1.0 mL ) was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The volatiles were removed in vacuo. Compound 11 ( $459 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) was obtained after flash column chromatography of the residue on silica gel: IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2850, 1660, 1485, 1450, 1240, $1190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5$, $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.87 (dd, $J=2.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.45 (dddq, $J=3.2,2.9$, $2.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.4,3.3,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.18 (dddd, $J=16.4,10.5,3.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV}), m / e$ $334\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
cis -2-(o-Methoxyphenyl)-6-methyltetrahydro-4H-pyran-4-one (12). A solution of enol ether $11(17.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} \mu \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{mL}$ ). After stirring for 40 min at room temperature, the mixture was neutralized with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with methylene chloride. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) was obtained after column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of the residue: $1 \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1715,1485,1245,1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=1.6,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03$ (dd, $J=11.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{ddq}, J=11.0,2.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.72 (ddd, $J=14.3,2.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.46 (ddd, $J=14.4,2.0$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.39-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 70 $\mathrm{eV}), m / e 220.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
rac- $\beta$-(o-Methoxyphenyl)-3-(triethylsilyl)-2,6-dideoxyglucose (13). To a methylene chloride ( 0.8 mL ) solution containing enol ether 11 (22.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added borane-dimethyl sulfide ( $68.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. A solution of $1: 13 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}$ and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(60 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and THF (0.9 mL ) were added. The mixture was warmed in a $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath for 1.5 h with stirring. Powdered potassium carbonate and ether ( 5 mL ) were added, leaving an organic layer and a solid residue. The organic layer was decanted after stirring. The solid residue was triturated with ether. The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo. The residue afforded 16 mg of compound 13 ( $68 \%$ ) after column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAC}\right.$-hexane 1:2): IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3580,2945,2855,1490,1460$, $1245,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dt}, d=1.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.81 (ddd, $J=9.0,8.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dq}, J=9,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ (dd, $J=9.9,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.33 (ddd, $J=11.7,4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$

[^7](ddd, $J=11.7,11.1,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 352$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
cis -2-Methyl-6-phenyl-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran (14). A solution of benzaldehyde, $(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 9.80 \mathrm{mmol})$, diene $10(0.800$ $\mathrm{g}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 h . The volatiles were then removed in vacuo. Column chromatography of the residue on silica gel and elution with EtOAC-hexane ( $1: 15$ ) afforded 276 mg ( $91 \%$ ) of 2 -methyl-6-phenyl-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)-5,6-dihydro-2 H -pyran (14): IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 2950, 2850, 1665, 1345, 1200, $1175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.15-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 4.77 (dd, $J=1.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .4 .55$ (dd, $J=10.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.34 (dddq, $J=3.3,2.5,1.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26$ (dddd, $J=16,10.6,3.3$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16,3.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(70 \mathrm{eV})$, $m / e 304\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

6-Methyl-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-4H-pyran-4-one (15). To a stirred solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added enol ether $14(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 24 h , diluted with methylene chloride, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. After chromatography ( EtOAc -hexane 1:6), the residue gave 73 mg ( $67 \%$ ) of compound 15: IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1655,1605,1390,1350,1325,1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.45-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS, $m / e 188\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 2-Ethenyl-4-pentenoate (19). To a stirred soltuion of LDA ( 165 mmol ) in THF ( 65.0 mL ) was added HMPA ( 30.0 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methyl crotonate ( 15.9 $\mathrm{mL}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a $20-\mathrm{min}$ period. After stirring was continued for 40 min , allyl bromide $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 173$ mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 70 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched with 20 mL of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The mixture was allowed to warm up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was diluted with hexanes ( 300 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 7$ ). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with hexanes ( 200 mL ), and the extract was washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue afforded $10.7 \mathrm{~g}(76.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%)$ of compound $19\left(57-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{mmHg}\right)$ and $2.75 \mathrm{~g}(10 \%)$ of the dially. lated product methyl 2-ethenyl-2-(2-propenyl)-4-pentenoate, 2-( $n$ -propenyl)-4-pentenoate, 19 a (bp $80-83^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ). For compound 19: IR (neat) $3050,2950,2930,2880,1740,1635,1430,1340,1261$, $1190,1165 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.52-6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.9-5.2(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.1(\mathrm{dt}, J=7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ $(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 140.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. For compound 19a: IR (neat) 3050, 2960, $2930,2900,1735,1640,1435,1270,1210,1140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.47-6.1(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.9-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), m/e $180.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Formation of 2-Ethenyl-1-methoxy-1-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)-1,4-pentadiene (20) as a 3:2 Ratio of Isomers. To a stirred solution of LDA (80 mmol ) in THF ( 32 mL ) was added dropwise compound 19 ( $10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 75$ mmol ) over 15 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the solution was stirred for 20 min , trimethylsilyl chloride ( $8.56 \mathrm{~g}, 92.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was warmed from -78 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min with stirring. After dilution with hexanes ( 350 mL ), the mixture was poured into a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ) containing ice ( 50 g ). After the solution was shaken, the aqueous layer was removed and the organic layer was washed with water ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Reduced pressure distillation of the residue from 72 to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.8 \mathrm{mmHg})$ afforded $12.8 \mathrm{~g}(60.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%)$ of ketene acetal 20 as a ca. 3:2 mixture of geometric isomers. For the mixture: IR (neat) $3050,2950,2810,1640,1435 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (major isomer) $\delta 6.5(\mathrm{dd}, J=13,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.5-6.1(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.7-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), (minor isomer) $6.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=13,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.5-6.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.7-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), m/e $212.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2-Chloro-5-hydroxy-6-(2-propenyl) naphthoquinone (25). A solution of the mixed ketene acetals $20(6.29 \mathrm{~g}, 29.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $23(5.20$ $\mathrm{g}, 29.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 60 mL ) was heated ( $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath) for 58 h . The volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was heated in a 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath for 2 h . After the solution was cooled, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and passed through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was recrystallized from EtOH to give $4.73 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ of compound 25 as orange needles: $\mathrm{mp} 126-126.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1675$, $1635,1590,1425,1305,1250,845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.7-6.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS}(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 248.1$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 250.1(\mathrm{M}+2,31.0 \%)$. Anal. Calcd: C, $62.79 \%$; H, $3.64 \%$;
$\mathrm{Cl}, 14.26 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 62.51 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 3.75 \% ; \mathrm{Cl}, 14.55 \%$
2-Chloro-5-methoxy-6-(2-propenyl)naphthoquinone (26). A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution ( 100 mL ) containing quinone $25(7.88 \mathrm{~g}, 31.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl iodide ( $8.72 \mathrm{~g}, 63.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 47.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated under reflux. Additional methyl iodide ( $8.72 \mathrm{~g}, 63.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was introduced twice during the reflux period. After 21 h , the mixture was cooled, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in $1: 1$ hexanes $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, passed through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~g})$, and eluted with 1:1 hexanes $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The eluate was concentrated and recrystallized from hexanes. Quinone $26(8.30 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%)$ was obtained as yellow needles: mp 96.5-97 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1675,1655,1601,1575,1240,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.7-6.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9-5.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 262.0\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 87.8 \%\right), 264.0(\mathrm{M}+2$, $32.5 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd: C, $64.01 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.22 \%$. Found: C, $63.91 \% ; \mathrm{H}$, $4.23 \%$.

2-Chloro-5-methoxy-6-(trans-1-propenyl)naphthoquinone (27). A solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018$ mmol ) and quinone 26 ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 0.771 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated under reflux for 12 h . After the solution cooled, a deep orange-red solid was obtained. The solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solution was passed through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ( 1 g ). Elution with $1: 1$ hexanes- $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and recrystallization from ethyl acetate afforded quinone $27(192.4 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%)$ as orange needles: mp $139-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2910,1675,1605,1570,1240,1150,840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dq}, J=16,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS $(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 262.0\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $100 \%), 264.0\left((\mathrm{M}+2)^{+}, 34.8 \%\right)$. Anal. Calcd: C, $64.01 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.22$; Found: C, $63.83 \%$; H, $4.20 \%$.

Methyl 2-Ethenyl-4-methyl-4-pentenoate (21). To a solution of LDA $(162.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 65 mL ) was added HMPA ( 29 mL ) at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the solution was stirred for 40 min , methyl crotonate ( $15.1 \mathrm{~g}, 151$ mmol ) was introduced dropwise over 30 min . The mixture was stirred at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 30 min and then 2 -methyl-3-iodopropene ( 32.0 $\mathrm{g}, 176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 1 h , the reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 30 mL ) and allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was diluted with hexanes ( 250 mL ) and washed with water ( 100 $\mathrm{mL} \times 6$ ). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with hexanes $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layer was washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Reduced pressure distillation of the residue afforded $17.45 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of compound 21: bp $58-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{mmHg} ; \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $3070,2945,1735,1640,1440,1270,1200,1160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.6-6.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63 (s, 3 H ), 3.22 (ddd, $J=7,7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.47 (dd, $J=14,7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=14,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), $m / e 154$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Formation of 2-Ethenyl-1-methoxy-4-methyl-1-((trimethylsilyl)oxy)-1,4-pentadiene (22) as a 2:1 Mixture of Isomers. To a solution of LDA ( 39 mmol ) in THF ( 16 mL ) was added dropwise ester $21(5.37 \mathrm{~g}, 34.9$ mmol) over 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min , trimethylsilyl chloride ( 5.0 $\mathrm{g}, 46.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was introduced. The reaction temperature was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 40 min the mixture was diluted with hexanes $(350 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with cold $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Reduced pressure distillation of the residue afforded diene $22(6.27 \mathrm{~g}, 79.5 \%)$ boiling from 48 to $52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.8 \mathrm{mmHg}$ as a $2: 1$ mixture of isomers: IR (neat) 3070 , 2955, 1645, 1440, 1250, 1220, 1095, $845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (major isomer) $\delta 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=16,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=16,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=11,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 2 H ), 1.72 (br s, 3 H ), (minor isomer) $6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=16,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.85 (dd, $J=16,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ (dd, $J=11,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e$ 226 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).

1,5-Dimethoxy-2-(trans - 1-propenyl)-6-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-9,10anthraquinone (18). A benzene ( 30 mL ) solution containing quinone 27 $(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 3.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triene $22(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ was heated in a $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath for 15 h . The volume of the solution was reduced to about $5-10 \mathrm{~mL}$ by blowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ over the surface of the solution. Acetone ( 30 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the residue. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , treated with methyl iodide ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and heated on a $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath. Additional methyl iodide ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added 3 times at $8-\mathrm{h}$ intervals during heating. After 44 h the reaction mixture was cooled and concentrated in vacuo. Ether $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue. The organic solution was washed with cold $0.2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\times 2$ ) and brine ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. Compound $18(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ was obtained as a yellow solid which, after recrystallization from benzene, afforded yellow needles: mp $149-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2910,1670,1570,1555,1255,1070,1000$
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J$ $=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (br s, 2 H$), 1.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 362.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd: C, $76.22 \%$; H, $6.12 \%$. Found: C, $76.03 \%$; H, $6.11 \%$.

1,5-Dimethoxy-2-formyl-6-(2-oxopropyl)-9,10-anthraquinone (9). To a solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(105 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing compound $18(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 5.10$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeOH}(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 178 \mathrm{mmol})$ was bubbled $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the color of the solution started to change to green. The greenish solution was treated with dimethyl sulfide ( 10 mL ) and stirred at room temperature for 8 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo. After the residue was passed through a short column ( 8 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), compound $9(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$ was obtained as yellow crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 187.5-188.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2920,1720$, $1695,1675,1580,1565,1270,1230,1070,990 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 10.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ (s, 2 H ), $3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), m/e $352.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

1,5-Dimethoxy-2-(5,6-dihydro-2-methyl-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)-2H-pyran-6-yl)-6-(2-oxopropyl)-9,10-anthraquinone (34). To a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (25 $\mathrm{mL})$ solution containing aldehyde $9(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{fod})_{3}$ $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added diene $10(3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was heated on a $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath for 17 h . Triethylamine ( 1 mL ) was added, and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue on silica gel ( 35 g ) and elution with EtOAc-hexane (1:2) afforded enol ether 34 ( $2.15 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ): IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2950,2850,1710,1665,1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.13$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=10,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.55-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.9(5.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.4-2.0(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-0.9(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.6(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), $m / e 550.4\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd: C, $67.61 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.95 \% ; \mathrm{Si}$, $5.10 \%$. Found: C $67.42 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85 \%$; $\mathrm{Si}, 5.31 \%$.

1,5-Dimethoxy-6-(2-oxopropyl)-2-(cis-6-methyl-2,3,5,6-tetrahydro-4H-pyran-4-on-2-yl)-9,10-anthraquinone (35). A solution containing THF $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$, acetic acid $(1.20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and enol ether $34(16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue afforded compound $\mathbf{3 5}(11 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ) after column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ 1:9): IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1720,1670,1565,1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 250 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{ddq}, J=11.0,2.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), $m / e 436.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 421.1$ ( $65 \%$ ), 404.1 ( $75 \%$ ), 337.1 ( $75 \%$ ), 293.1 ( $87.5 \%$ ).

1,5-Dimethoxy-2-(tetrahydro-5-hydroxy-6-methyl-4-((triethylsilyl)-oxy)-2H-pyran-2-yl)-6-(2-oxopropyl)-9,10-anthraquinone (36). To a solution of enol ether 34 ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 0.378 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added borane-dimethyl sulfide $\left(0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ solution at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , and then THF ( 4 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and a $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}-3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}$ ( $1: 1$ ) solution ( 6 mL ) were added. Effervescence was observed. After the bubbling of gases ceased, the mixture was warmed on a $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath for 2.5 h . Powdered $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added, and stirring was continued for 10 min . The aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( $200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined ethereal layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography of the residue afforded recovered enol ether 34 ( 64 mg ) and product 36 ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ): IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3575,2945,2925,2855$, $1715,1670,1565,1270,1255,1075,1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08 \mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=11,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ (ddd, $J=11,8.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.5$ (dq, $J=9.2,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.23 (ddd, $J=11.8,3.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59$ (ddd, $J=11.8,11,11 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS ( 20 eV ), $m / e 568.3\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 455.1$ ( $42.9 \%$ ), 423 ( $26.0 \%$ ), $171.2(88 \%)$, 145.1 ( $71.7 \%$ ), 103.2 (100\%). Anal. Calcd: C, $65.47 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.09 \%$; Si, 4.94\%. Found: C, $65.47 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 7.26 \% ; \mathrm{Si}, 4.92 \%$.

Demethylation of Anthraquinone 36. Formation of 37. To a solution of $36(22.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0395 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( 45 $\mu \mathrm{L}$, neat) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 min , diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and poured into ice-cold aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The color of the organic layer changed from purple to orange. The organic layer was separated from the aqueous layer and washed twice with brine and ice. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford 37 ( $21.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0392 \mathrm{mmol} ; 99 \%$ ) as an orange solid. Compound 37 was used directly without any purification because it tends to decompose on silica gel: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(90 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ partial spectrum) $\delta 7.90-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,

### 1.10-0.90 (m, 9 H ), 0.70-0.50 (m, 6 H ).

Formation of Diastereomer Mixture (38). To a solution of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.138 \mathrm{~g}, 0.855 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 2.6 M in hexane, $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Methyl acetate ( $57.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 20 min . After the solution was stirred for 35 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, anhydrous $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ) was added. The mixture was stirred for another 25 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then was added to a solution of 37 (121 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 day. After dilution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 150 mL ), the mixture was poured into ice-water. The mixture was carefully acidified with the addition of $1 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ until the pH of the aqueous layer was ca. 1.5. The organic layer was washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 3), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ $50: 1$ then $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH} 10: 1$ ) to afford a $19-\mathrm{mg}$ (49\%) mixture of two diastereomers corresponding to 38: IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1715,1620,1600,1255$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 4.96 (dd, $J=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (ddd, $J=11.8,9.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ (dd, $J=9.0$, $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (d, $J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.55 (ddd, $J=12.0,4.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.50 (ddd, $J=12.0,11.8,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Formation of the Mixture of Fully Synthetic Tetraacetyl Derivative (39). The tetraacetyl derivative of the synthetic mixture 38 was prepared according to the literature procedure ${ }^{2 e}$ for the converison of pure aglycon 2b to 2 c. A mixture of $38(21.7 \mathrm{mg}, 43.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and acetic anhydride ( 0.5 mL ) was allowed to stand at room temperature for 3 h and then ice-water ( 10 mL ) was added. After standing at room temperature for 1 h , the mixture was extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified on silica gel column to afford 22.5 mg of 39 as a yellow powder: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76$ (br s, 1 H), 3.74 (s, 3 H ) $3.70(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (br d, $J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{brd}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.54 (s, 3 H ), 2.52 (s, 3 H ), 2.09 (s, 3 H ), 2.02 (s, 3 H ), 1.68 (m, 1 H ), $1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Though compound 39 is in fact a mixture of diastereomers, its NMR spectrum is identical with that from 2c.
$d$-Menthyl 6-(2,6-dideoxy- $\beta$-arabinohexopyranosyl)-9,10-dihydro-1,5-dihydroxy- $\beta$-methyl-9,10-dioxo-2-anthracenebutanoate 47 and 48. To a solution of diisopropylamine $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( $6.5 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{L}$ ) was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(16.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 50 min , the LDA solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $d$-menthyl acetate ( $2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over a period of 50 min . After the solution was stirred for another 40 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}-\mathrm{THF}$ solution ( 14.6 mmol in 40 mL of THF) was added to the enolate solution. The Grignard reagent was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then was added to compound $37(755 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A reddish solution was formed. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 h and then was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was carefully acidified with $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was washed with ice-water ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH} 50: 1\right.$ and then $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ $10: 1$ ). A yellow solid was obtained ( $534.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}, 61.2 \%$ ) as a mixture of four isomers. The mixture was further purified by HPLC ( $\mu$-Bondapak-CN/acetone-ethyl acetate hexanes $1: 1: 20 /$ flow rate 2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Fraction 3, ent-vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2} d$-menthyl ester 47: IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1700,1620,1420,1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.78 (ddd, $J=10.9,10.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ $9.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 10$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Fraction 1, epi-vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2} d$-menthyl ester 48: IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 2940, 2900, 1700, $1620,1425,1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.9$, $10.9,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24$ (dd, $J=9.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ (d, $J$ $=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(20 \mathrm{eV}), m / e 384.0(52.9 \%)$,
280.0 ( $33.1 \%$ ), 139.1 ( $42.9 \%$ ), 83.2 ( $92.5 \%$ ).
$I$-Menthyl 6-(2,6-dideoxy- $\beta$-arabinohexapyranosyl)-9,10-dihydro-1,5-dihydroxy- $\beta$-methyl-9,10-dioxo-2-anthracenebutanonate 42 and 43 . Reaction of $l$-menthyl ester bromomagnesium salt 41 with ketone 37 under the above reaction conditions afforded epi-vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2} l$-menthyl ester 43 in fraction 1 , vineomycinone $B_{2} l$-menthyl ester 42 in fraction 3, and two other isomers in fraction 2. The IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and mass spectra of $l$-menthyl ester 42 were identical with those of $d$-menthyl ester 47, and the corresponding spectrum of $l$-menthyl ester 43 was identical with that of $d$-menthyl ester 48.

Vineomycinone $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ Methyl Ester 2b. A mixture of $l$-menthyl ester $\mathbf{4 2}$ $(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5$ mL ) in a stoppered flask was heated in a $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath for 22 h . Ice-water ( 5 mL ) was added to the mixture, and the solution was carefully acidified with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ until the pH of the solution was 3 . The acidified solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ mmol ) solution was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residue ( 6.0 mg ) was purified by HPLC ( $\mu$-Bondapak-CN/ethyl acetate-hexane $1: 3 / 2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to afford vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ methyl ester $\mathbf{2 b}(0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and recovered $l$-menthyl ester $42(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0016 \mathrm{mmol}):$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1715,1620$, $1600,1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 13.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.11$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (ddd, $J=11.8,9.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dq}, J$ $=8.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (d, $J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.55 (ddd, $J=12.0,4.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.50 (ddd, $J=12.0,11.8,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS (20 eV), $m / e 485.1\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-15\right), 384.1(35 \%), 281.1(18.9 \%), 280.0$ $(50.9 \%), 117.1(100 \%) ;[\alpha]_{D}+109.1^{\circ}\left(c 0.00066, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \mathrm{mp} 183-184$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
epi-Vineomycinone $B_{2}$ Methyl Ester 51. Treatment of $d$-menthyl ester 48 with potassium carbonate in methanol under the above reaction conditions afforded epi-vineomycinone $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ methyl ester 51: IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1713,1640,1450,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.23(\mathrm{~s}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 13.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.8,9.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ (dd, $J=9.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=14$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55$ (ddd, $J=12.0,4.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.0,11.8,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
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#### Abstract

The concept of a cycloaddition approach to the most illustrious member of the iridoid family, loganin aglucon, is outlined. Palladium-catalyzed cycloaddition of a substituted $2-[($ trimethylsilyl methyl]allyl carboxylate to cyclopentenone creates the proper substitution pattern of loganin. Conversion of the [( $2,4,6$-triisopropylphenyl)sulfonyl]hydrazone of the adduct to its corresponding vinyllithium and carboxylation are followed by deconjugation of the enoate. The crucial resultant bicyclo[3.3.0]octene suffers double cleavage in a single step to create the keto form of loganin alucon in five steps from cyclopentenone. The efficiency of this approach demonstrates the utility of a cycloaddition strategy. The questions associated with the use of substituted TMM units in complex synthesis are probed.


The explosive growth in the number of natural products containing five-membered rings provided great stimulation to develop cyclopentannulation methods. ${ }^{1}$ In considering the types of strategy that would be particularly useful, a cycloaddition approach seemed most appealing. Among the types of cycloadditions that could be considered, the addition of trimethylenemethane offers the

[^8]opportunity of forming a five-membered ring and simultaneously introducing the exocyclic methylene group as a useful functionality for further structural elaboration (see eq 1). ${ }^{16,2^{-10}}$ Metal-catalyzed


[^9]
[^0]:    (2) Cf. Inter. Alia. (a) nogalamycin: Hauser, F. M., Adams, T. C. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2296 and references therein. (b) Pluramycin A: Kondo, S.; Muyamoto, M.; Naganawa, H.; Takeuchi, T.; Umezawa, H. J. Antibiot. 1977, 12, 1143. (c) Granaticin: Chang, C. J.; Floss, H. G.; Soong, P.; Chan, C. T. Ibid. 1975, 28, 156. (d) Griseusin: Kometani, T.; Takeuchi, Y.; Yoshi, E. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2311. (e) Aquayamycin: Sezaki, M.; Kondo, S.; Maeda, K.; Umezawa, H.; Ohno, M. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 5171.

[^1]:    (3) Cf. vineomycin $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : Imamura, N.; Kakenuma, K.; Ikekawa, N.; Takana, H.; Omura, S. Chem. Pharm, Bull. 1981, 29, 1788.
    (4) See: "Molecular Aspects of Anticancer Drug Action"; Neidle, S., Waring, M. J., Eds.; Verlag Chemie: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1983.
    (5) For a full account of this work, see: Danishefsky, S.; Larson, E.; Askin, D.; Kato, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
    (6) For a preliminary account of this work, see: Danishefsky, S.; Uang, B.-J.; Quallich, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, I06, 2453.
    (7) Omura, S.; Tanaka, H.; Oieva, R.; Awaya, J.; Masura, R.; Tanaka, K. J. Antibiot. 1977, 30, 908.
    (8) Imamura, N.; Kakinuma, K.; Ikekawa, N.; Takna, H.; Omura, S. J. Antibiot. 1981, 34, 1517.
    (9) Ohta, K.; Kamiya, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 154.
    (10) (a) The pyran is considered as a $1,2,6$-dideoxy-O-glucose derivative with the anthraquinone residue replacing the anomeric hydroxyl at the 1 position. (b) Cf.: Eade, R. A.; Pham, H. P. Aust. J. Chem. 1979, 32, 2483.

[^2]:    (11) Danishefsky, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1981, 14, 400.
    (12) The term enantiotopic control is meant to imply that configurations of the pyran (D or L sugar) and of the tertiary alcohol regions ( $R$ or $S$ alcohol) are controlled independently of one another through a strategy which focuses on the absolute configuration in each sector rather than on interactivity of the two regions. Of course, the second element of enantiotopic control merges with "diastereotopic control" since the relative stereochemistry is also imposed.
    (13) Cf.: Katsuki, T.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5974.

[^3]:    (14) In the interim we have described the use of 1 -alkyl and 1-alkyl-1silyloxydienes in this chemistry. See: Danishefsky, S.; Harvey, D. F., Quallich, G.; Uang, B.-J. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 392.
    (15) (a) Bednarski, M.; Danishefsky, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, I05, 3716. (b) This is the trade name for tris $(6,6,7,7,8,8,8$-heptafluoro-2,2-di-methyl-3,5-octanedionato)europium.
    (16) For a related application which appeared after our communication, ${ }^{6}$ see: Lepoittevin, J. P.; Benezra, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 2505. See also: Larson, G. L.; Hernandez, D.; Hernandez, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 76, 9.

[^4]:    (17) Bednarski, M.; Maring, C.; Danishefsky, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3451 .
    (18) Ito, Y.; Hirao, T.; Saegusa, T. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 48, 1011.
    (19) We have thus far not encountered any cyclocondensation reactions with ketones. Professor Mark Midland of the University of California at Riverside has informed us that he has observed several cycloaddition reactions with ketones.
    (20) Herman, T. L.; Kieczykowski, G. R.; Schlessinger, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 2433.
    (21) Diene 20 was originally prepared with a view toward the alternatie synthesis described later. See $29 \rightarrow 18$. In the synthesis which starts with quinone 23, it is likely that diene 22 could have been employed in both carboxycyclic Diels-Alder constructions; however, this possibility was not checked.

[^5]:    (22) Ling, A. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1892, 61, 558.
    (23) Cf. Inter alia: (a) Brassard, P.; Roberge, G. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4161 and earlier papers. (b) Bauman, J. G.; Barber, R. B.; Gless, R. D.; Rapaport, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 4477. (c) Cameron, D. W.; Feutrill, G. I.; Perlmutter, P. Aust. J. Chem. 1982, 35, 1469. (d) Pearlman, B. A.; McNamara, J. M.; Hasan, I.; Hatakeyama, S.; Sekezaki, H.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4248. For the first example of annulation of a quinone with a siloxydiene, see: Danishefsky, S.; Kitahara, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7807.
    (24) (a) Hegedus, L. S.; Williams, R. E.; McGuire, M. A.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4973. (b) Cf.: Grieco, P. A.; Nishizawa, M.; Marinovic, N.; Ehrmann, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7102.

[^6]:    (26) Cf.: Kende, A. S.; Tsay, Y. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 140.
    (27) Cf.: Mitsui, S.; Konno, K.; Onuma, I.; Shimizu, K. J. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1964, 85, 437.
    (28) Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2453.
    (29) At present we have no way to rigorously know whether the menthyl esters of vineomycinone and epi-vineomycinone which are obtained in homogeneous form are configurationally related in the pyran or the tertiary alcohol sectors. Therefore, the absolute configurations of the epi-methyl esters are also not known. For the sake of representation, we arbitrarily group the corresponding homogeneous compounds ( 42 and 43 as well as 47 and 48 ) on the basis of identical sugars which differ in the configuration of the tertiary alcohols.

[^7]:    (30) 'H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM 390 and Brucker $250-\mathrm{MHz}$ or $500-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometers. Data are reported as (megahertz, solvent) chemical shift in parts per million with chloroform ( 87.27 ) as the internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 710B spectrometer using sodium chloride solution cells and are referenced to polystyrene ( $1601 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Mass spectra were obtained with an HP-5985 GC-MS spectrometer by direct insertion. High-performance liquid chromatography was performed with a Waters 6000A HPLC system. Combustion analyses were performed by Dr. Rittner of Olin Corp., New Haven, and Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville, TN.
    (31) This diene was obtained in $>90 \%$ purity. The contaminant was 2-((triethylsilyl)oxy)-4-methyl-1,3-pentadiene, which did not interfere with the cycloaddition of diene 10 with aldehyde 9 .

[^8]:    (1) (a) For an excellent review, see: Paquette, L. A. Top. Curr. Chem. 1984, II9, 1. (b) Also, see: Trost, B. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1982, M, 141. (c) For some leading references, see: Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, I05, 2315.

[^9]:    (2) Trost, B. M.; Chan, D. M. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, I05, 2326.
    (3) Mayr, H.; Seitz, B.; Halberstadt-Kansch, I. K. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1041 .
    (4) Danheiser, R. L.; Carini, D. J.; Basak, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1604.

